

**REMARKS**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-11 and 15-17 as obvious over Wiggs (US 5,269,058) in view of Butter (US 3,678,802). Claims 1 and 15 recite simultaneously machining a floor and opposite interior wall surfaces along elongated paths. Butter does not simultaneously machine a floor and opposite interior wall surfaces. As shown in Figures 3a and 3b of Butter, the cutting wheel is not wide enough to do this and multiple passes are required. Thus, the Examiner's proposed motivation does not exist. Also, even if Wiggs were somehow modified in view of Butter, the claimed invention would not be achieved.

Respectfully submitted,

**CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS**

/John E. Carlson/  
John E. Carlson  
Registration No. 37,794  
400 W. Maple, Suite 350  
Birmingham, MI 48009  
(248) 988-8360

Dated: March 29, 2007